



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                     | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/678,439  | 10/03/2003      | Feng Wang            | 169.12-0596             | 1604             |  |
| 164   | 7590 01/05/2006 | EXAMINER             |                         | INER             |  |
| KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.<br>THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING |                 |                      | TUPPER, F               | TUPPER, ROBERT S |  |
| 312 SOUTH THIRD STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55415-1002   |                 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |
|   |                 |                      | 2652                    |                  |  |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/678,439   | WANG ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | Robert S. Tupper   | 2652   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr  fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 October 2005</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original transfer of the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction of th | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                        |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | · <u>=</u>   |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6)  Other:   | •  |  |  |  |

1. Applicant, in the response of 10/27/05, states that the specification describes two embodiments. In view of this, the previous election of species requirement is withdrawn, and the following election requirement is made.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (A) using a "low moment' magnetic material for the "magnetic element", and (B) using a "high coercivity, high permeability" magnetic material for the "magnetic element".

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 29 are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 2652

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Mr.Fairbairn on 12/27/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. The following comments are made in an effort to advance the prosecution of the case. Applicant should consider amending the claims to address the issues identified below.

Page 4

The invention involves utilizing a magnetic material that is different from that used for the main pole to reduce side writing. However, independent claims 1, 21, and 29 do not specify that the material used for the main pole is different from that used for at least some other part of the magnetic core. To the extent that these claims can be read as encompassing a core made up of only one material, these claims are inadequately disclosed and/or indefinite.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S. Tupper whose telephone number is 571-272-7581. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM (first Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 571-272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,439

Art Unit: 2652

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

rst